**Thalassodromeus sebesensis** — A new name for an old turtle. Comment on “**Thalassodromeus sebesensis**, an out of place and out of time Gondwanan tapejarid pterosaur”, Grellet-Tinner and Codrea
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**1. Introduction**

In a recent Gondwana Research article Grellet-Tinner and Codrea (2015) (hereafter “GTC”) describe a single bone (UBB ODA-28, collections of Babeș-Bolyai University, Cluj Napoca, Romania) from the Upper Cretaceous Sárd Formation (=middle section of the Sebes Formation) (Transylvanian Basin, Romania) as a pterosaur premaxillary cranial crest. They assign this fossil to a new species of small pterosaur, *Thalassodromeus sebesensis* (a name first coined in a conference abstract published in 2013; Grellet-Tinner et al., 2013). GTC build a taxonomic argument on the basis of this single incomplete specimen that posits the presence of a major group of pterosaurs hitherto entirely restricted to the Early Cretaceous of South America – thalassodromines (Kellner and Campos, 2007) or thalassodromids (Witton, 2009) – in the European Late Cretaceous. GTC note that “this important discovery doubles the thalassodromine fossil record and demonstrates a 42 million year temporal displacement between the Romanian species and its older Aiptan Gondwanan congener *Thalassodromeus sethi*”. If GTC are correct, this new fossil represents a remarkably unexpected and potentially very important discovery that could rewrite aspects of pterosaur evolutionary history.

We have assembled a large international team who disagree with the arguments presented by GTC. As we demonstrate, the fossil fragment they describe is misidentified; it is, firstly, not from a pterosaur but is clearly a piece of the shell of the turtle *Kallokibotion* (Nopcsa, 1923a,b) and, secondly, is therefore not the groundbreaking discovery of an ‘anachronistic’ Gondwanan pterosaur in Europe as claimed. Because ODA-28 is not a pterosaur, yet alone a *Thalassodromeus*, GTC’s conclusions are also unsupported.

**2. ODA-28 is not a pterosaur head crest or even part of a pterosaur**

The similarity of ODA-28 to pterosaur fossils is superficial; the GTC specimen possesses no apomorphies or other characteristic features of Pterosauria. Most importantly, the thickness, cross sectional morphology, and asymmetry of the specimen demonstrate that it is not a pterosaur head crest, or a pterosaur bone of any kind. Pterodactyloid bones, including the head crests and bones of thalassodromines, are characterised by millimetre-thick bone walls by networks of fine trabeculae (e.g. de Ricqlés et al., 2000; Sayão, 2003; Steel et al., 2005, 2015). DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gr.2014.08.003.
Fig. 4; Steel, 2008). Broken margins of ODA-28 exhibit two layers of compact bone separated by a diploë (a spongy layer), not thin bone separated by trabeculae. Pterosaur cranial crests are mediately positioned structures with symmetrical thickness on the left and right sides (Kellner and Campos, 2002; Martill and Naish, 2006).

The anatomy of ODA-28 is distinctly different from all known pterosaur head crests. The ‘fossae’ meant to link ODA-28 to Thalassodromeus sethi are morphologically and topographically unlike the fenestrae at the base of Thalassodromeus headcrests, which are elongate, located posterior to the orbit (and thus to the nasoantorbital fenestra), and perforated (Kellner and Campos, 2007, Fig. 10). Alleged anatomical features of the specimen, including a nasal process, anterodorsal margin of the nasoantorbital fenestra, and ‘finger-like projections’ from alleged crest margins, are morphologically irreconcilable with thalassodromines and other pterosaur crania and are, as we will demonstrate below, consistent with identification of this material as part of a turtle.

ODA-28 does not exhibit any clear similarities with the pterosaur Thalassodromeus. For example, the irregular ‘ventral’ margin of ODA-28 compares poorly with the smooth, sweeping nasoantorbital margin of Thalassodromeus and other monofenestratan pterosaurs (Martill and Naish, 2006; Kellner and Campos, 2007). The flat, unremarkable ‘nasal process’ of ODA-28 is entirely unlike the tapering process of the nasal of Thalassodromeus and related pterosaurs. The “finger-like projections” of ODA-28 are not seen in Thalassodromeus, and in fact have no precedent on any pterosaur cranial crest, even among the fine, fibrous crest structures which anchored soft-tissues in many pterosaur species (e.g. Frey et al., 2003).

3. ODA-28 is a piece of fossil turtle shell

In the Supplementary Text accompanying their paper GTC discussed (and rejected) the possibility that ODA-28 might be part of a turtle. This is the correct interpretation of this fragmentary specimen; ODA-28 is a partial posterior plastral lobe of the turtle Kallokibotion (Nopcsa, 1923a,b). Specifically, this specimen is an articulated left hypoplastron and xiphiplastron with a damaged inguinal buttress and a partially broken anal notch, preserved in a sandstone concretion and exposed in dorsal/internal view (Fig. 1). Our reinterpretation is based on several morphological characters discussed below and in comparison with the posterior plastral elements of other Kallokibotion specimens, including the designated type material (Nopcsa, 1923b; Gaffney and Meylan, 1992).

The hypoplastron is trapezoidal and exhibits a well-developed sutural margin on its cranial edge (the mesoplastron/hypoplastron-hypoplastron contact), as in Kallokibotion. Based on the diverging angle of the meso-hypoplastral suture, we suspect that originally the two mesoplastra were not in contact axially (as in K. bajazidi) (so there is also hypoplastron–hypoplastron contact medially). What GTC identified as “finger-like projections” represent incomplete ossification of the inter-hypoplastral sutures, as is characteristic of juvenile and subadult individuals. The inguinal buttress (where the maximum bone thickness is reached) is distally incomplete, and extends laterally from the long axis at a right angle, forming what GTC identified as the “posterior margin of the nasoantorbital fenestra”.

The xiphiplastron is triangular in shape. The suture between the hypoplastron and xiphiplastron is clearly visible on ODA-28, with a process on the xiphiplastron close to the lateral edge fitting into a notch on the hypoplastron, as in other turtles. Among its most striking features are the postero-lateral skin sulcus (identified by GTC as the “nasal process”), which in most turtles represents the attachment margin of the skin (body-wall), and the pubic attachment area (identified by GTC as the “fossa”), where the lateral pubic process is attached to the plastron by ligaments. This attachment site is often present in stem-turtles and some cryptodires (in pleurodires the contact is sutural) (see for e.g. Proganochelys, meiolanids and Glyptops; Gaffney, 1990). In Kallokibotion the pubic articular facet is present on the centro-lateral part of the plastron and is oval or subrounded in shape (Natural History Museum, London; NHMUK R4930), nearly identical in shape, size, and position to the ‘fossa’ on ODA-28 (Fig. 1).

ODA-28 also exhibits several other uncanny similarities with Kallokibotion and other turtles. The visceral (=[dorsal] surface of the hypo-xiphiplastron is generally smooth but exhibits a series of fine lineations, which are especially concentrated close to the inguinal process, along the sutural margins and radiating around the pubic articular facet. This specific type of plastron texture is common in many turtles and marks both soft tissue attachments and a small degree of surface vascularization. Additionally, features identified by GTC as the internal structure of the bone (clearly distinct from the condition present in pterosaur cranial crests; see above) are common in turtles and usually formed by a highly vascularized external cortex, an extensive interior cancellous area formed by short and thick trabeculae, and a relatively thin, internal cortex.
The morphology of the hypoplastron and xiphiplastron, and in particular the size and shape of the inguinal buttress, the orientation of the meso-hypoplastral suture (indicating the presence of a wide triangular mesoplastron), the development of the xiphiplastral skin sulcus, and the size and position of the pubic articular facet, clearly indicate referral of ODA-28 to the turtle Kallokibotion, and it is a perfect match to NHMUK R4930, the lectotype of Kallokibotion magnificum (Nopcsa, 1923a,b) (Fig. 1) (this species was synonymised with K. bajazidi by Gaffney and Meylan (1992); see Rabi et al. (2013)).

4. Evolutionary implications

Based on their incorrect identification of ODA-28 as a pterosaur crest, GTC built a classic ‘house of cards’ reasoning: the misidentification of one fragmentary fossil leading to a cascade of elaborate ideas with increasingly far-reaching implications. These include bizarre and implausible soft-tissue and ecological hypotheses: e.g. the crest anchored muscles and a ‘sizeable fleshy crest’ and acted as a rudder in flight; this supposed new pterosaur demonstrates hitherto unappreciated co-evolution between Romanian pterosaurs and angiosperms, and; the hypothesis that their new taxon supports ecological segregation between azhdarchids and thalassodromines.

5. Conclusions

The new Romanian fossil ODA-28 described by GTC shares no apomorphous characters with pterosaurs, lacks the anatomical of pterosaur head crests, and shares numerous features with Kallokibotion and other turtles. We therefore reassign ODA-28 to the common Upper Cretaceous European turtle Kallokibotion. Additional preparation and study of ODA-28 is almost certain to corroborate our re-identification. We predict that histological thin sectioning will demonstrate characteristic turtle, not pterosaur, internal texture and vascularization patterns. We also predict that preparation of the other side of ODA-28 will reveal the fine vermicular texture and sulci for the dermal scales across the hypoplastron and xiphiplastron, as is characteristic for turtles and which clearly would not be present in a pterosaur. Finally we predict that preparation or CT scanning of the embedded side will reveal the full asymmetric three-dimensional morphology expected for a turtle plastron but not for a symmetrical pterosaur head crest. We are currently not able to perform these analyses, as the specimen is inaccessible to us (V. Codrea, pers. comm. to G. Dyke, July 2014), but look forward to the additional data that GTC will bring on this intriguing fossil. The name Thalassodromeus sebesensis Grelllet-Tinner and Codrea, 2014 should be synonymised under Kallokibotion bajazidi.
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